1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney			
3	DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CSBN 202121) Chief, Criminal Division			
4 5 6 7 8 9	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 6340 Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff	52)		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 03-07-70348 BZ	
16	Plaintiff,	{	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	V.)	EXCLUDING TIME	
18	GILSON ARAUJO aka GILVAN)		
19	LEANDRO THOMAZELE,))		
20	Defendant.) _		
21	On June 22, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that			
22	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 22, 2007 through July			
23	10, 2007. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for continuity of			
24	counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, insofar as counsel for the defendant			
25	and counsel for the Government are each unavailable for certain dates within this period.			
26	Counsel for the defendant also agreed to waive the defendant's right to a preliminary hearing			
27	within 10 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c), in light of these scheduling conflicts. The			
28	parties also represented that the continuance was also necessary for effective preparation of			
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time -	CR	03-07-70348 BZ 1	

1	counsel to permit defense counsel to review discovery. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
3	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney		
5 6 7 8	DATED: July 9, 2007 S DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney DATED: July 9, 2007 /s ALAN DRESSLER		
10 11	Attorney for GILSON ARAUJO aka GILVAN LEANDRO THOMAZELE		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED. As the Court found on June 22, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 22, 2007 through July 10, 2007 for continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
24 DATE 25 26 27 28	Honorable Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge		
	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time - CR 03-07-70348 BZ		